



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/939,736 | 08/28/2001 | Yoshiro Ishikawa | 011036 | 3857 |
| 38834 | 7590 11/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP | | | SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG | |
| 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | ON, DC 20036 | | 2627 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/939,736 | ISHIKAWA ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Houshang Safaipour | 2627 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISING of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)[🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Au</u> | ugust 2005 | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| ,— | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | · | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| •, | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)ı | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | | talional otage | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | |
| 3) ∐ Inforr Pape | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/939,736

Art Unit: 26227

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment filed on August 9, 2005 has been entered and made of record.

Applicant's arguments with regards to the amended claims have been considered, but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,703,186).

Regarding claim 1, Nakayama discloses an image scanner comprising:

an elongate body having an image reading surface for facing an original document (fig.

1);

6C)

a substrate provided in the body parallel to the image reading surface (fig. 2A, substrate

a line sensor extending in the body longitudinally thereof and mounted on the substrate in facing the relationship to the image reading surface for reading the document as the body moves along the document (fig. 2A, CCD 5);

Application/Control Number: 09/939,736

Art Unit: 26227

a roller shaft rotatably supported in the body to extend longitudinally of the body (fig. 2A, roller 2);

at least one roller supported on the roller shaft for rotating therewith while rolling on the document (fig. 2A, roller 2);

a rotary encoder for detecting the rotation of said at least one roller for determining a scanning distance of the body, the rotary encoder including a rotary disk supported on a disc shaft; and a drive transmission for connecting said at least one roller to the rotary encoder; wherein the rotary disc is oriented parallel to the substrate and the image reading surface (fig. 8, col. 4, line 66 through col. 5 line 29).

Regarding claim 2, Nakayama discloses the image scanner according to claim 1, wherein the disc shaft extends perpendicularly to the roller shaft (figs. 2A & 8).

Regarding claim 4, Nakayama discloses the image scanner according to claim 1, wherein the rotary encoder also including optical detector (col. 5, lines 38-43)

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,736

Art Unit: 26227

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (703)306-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles, Sr. can be reached on (703)305-4712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 26227

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner Art Unit 2622 October 29, 2005

SUPERVICE TECHNOLUE